## 

Case 7:12-cv-02858-VB Document 119 (Court only) Filed 12/26/12 Page 1 of 2

Plantiff request that the Court "dismiss" Rubenslein's watin is a DENIED. Plantiff is directed to Sulmit his opposition to all of the argument, sulmit his opposition to all of the argument, Monroe N. Y. 10950 Pubenstein's reply, if any, is due 2/14/13.

To: The Honorable Vincent L. Briccetti United States District Court Judge Southern District of New York 300 Quarropas Street

White Plains, New York, 10601

Re: Jacob Teitelbaum Vs. Juda Katz et al. 12-cv-2858 VB

Dear Judge Briccetti,

I am Jacob Teitelbaum, Plaintiff in this action. I was recently served with a motion for dismissal, by Defendant David Rubenstein, filed on December 18<sup>th</sup>, and returnable by January 3<sup>th</sup> 2013.

As of today almost all Defendants have field their motions for dismissal quite some time ago, and their replies to my answer. But, Defendant David Rubenstein claims he never received the Amended Complaint, and based on previous Court ruling on July 2<sup>th</sup>, and July 31<sup>th</sup> "that the time for any defendant to answer or move is stayed to answer or move is stayed pending their receipt of service of the amended complaint".

Since Plaintiff was granted in forma pauperis, the U S Marshals were ordered to serve the Defendants with the original Complaint, and the Amended Complaint, and according to the Marshals Defendant David Rubenstein was served with the Amended Complaint on June 29<sup>th</sup> (Doc 36), and on July 30<sup>th</sup> at the Court's request (Doc 40), accordingly Plaintiff made every afford to timely serve Defendant David Rubenstein.

Therefore I respectfully ask the Hon. Court to dismiss Defendant Rubenstein's Motion to Dismiss, or, in the alternative, to extend the time to serve Defendant David Rubenstein with the Amended Complaint, and order the U S Marshals to serve him again, despite the fact the Marshalls assert that he was already served.

I would also ask the Hon. Court to extend the time to file my opposition papers for the rest of the motions to dismiss until the January 31<sup>th</sup> 2013, with the Defendant filing his reply by February 28<sup>th</sup> 2013, In order to be able to prepare and draft my opposition papers properly.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated December 23, 2012, Monroe, NY.

Jacob Teitelbaum, Plaintiff

## 

Case 7:12-cv-02858-VB Document 119 (Court only) Filed 12/26/12 Page 2 of 2

CC; TARSHIS, CATANIA, LIBERTH, -MAHON & MILIGRAM, PLLC, Rebecca Baldwin Montello, Esq. Attorney for defendants, Children's Rights Society, Inc. And Atty. Kim Paylovic

One Corwin Court, P.O. Box 1479 Newburgh, New York, 12550 T - 845-565-1100

F - 845-565-1999 E-mail; rmantello@tclmm.com

David Darwin, Esq.
Orange County Dept. of Law.
Municipal Law Division
15 Matthews Street, Suite 305
Goshen, New York, 10924
T - 845-291-3150
F - 845-291-3167
Email; ddarwin@orangecountygov.com

Garbarini & Scher P.C.
Gregg D. Weinstock, Esq.
Attorneys for defendant
Maria A. Patrizio, Esq.
432 Park Avenue South, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, NY, 10016
T - 212-689-1113
F - 212-725-9630
Email; gweinstock@garbarini-scher.com

Taddeo & Shahn LLP Karen M. Taddeo, Esq. 472 South Salina Street, Suite 700 Syracuse, New York, 13202 T 315-422-6666 F - 315-422-5050 ktaddeo@ts-law.com

Juda Katz, Chaya Katz 22 Hayes Court, Unit 201 Monroe, New York, 10950

Joel Tennenbaum Bluma Tennenbaum 16 Lizensk Boulevard, Unit 102 Monroe, New York, 10950 Siler & Ingber LLP
Jeffrey B. Siler Esq.
Attorneys for defendant
Kiryas Joel Comm Ambulance CRP
301 Mincola Blvd.
Mincola, N.Y. 11501
T - 516-294-2666
F - 516-294-0870
jsiler@nylawnet.com

Hannigan Law Firm PLLC
Terence S. Hannigan Esq.
Attorneys for defendant
David Rubenstein
1881 Western Avenue, Suite 140
Albany, N.Y. 12203
T - 518-869-9911
F - 518-869-9915
terry@hannigan.pro